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ABSTRACT

The present study records for the first time are the presence of a meteorite find at Kattamiya Desert lying south east of Cairo by about 25 km in the Egyptian land. The field of occurrence of the meteorite is well studied. Three big pieces of the meteorite are collected from the location weighing 750gm, 1000gm and 1300gm. These occur beside a lot of many fragments (450 gm weight). The meteorite is assigned to the group of stony-irons meteorites.

The stony surface of the meteorite is dark in color, with lustrous glassy material, full of pits, with a pointed head and concentric flow rings. Moreover the surface represents a molten glassy outer skin. The lower surface of the stone is composed mainly of an iron mineral sometimes in the form of moderate globules. The lower surface takes a brownish color.

Mineralogy of the different objects of the meteorite was studied by x-ray diffraction. This study revealed the presence of the following minerals; kamactie, troilite, pyroxene mineral mostly titanoferroaugite and a calcic plagioclase feldspar. These minerals together characterise a special class of stony-irons group called mesosiderites.

On the other hand, wet quantitative chemical analysis of the stony part of the meteorite revealed high Al₂O₃, CaO, MgO and total Fe contents like Ca-rich achondrites. Therefore, the stony part of Kattamiya meteorite much resembles the Ca-rich achondrites. This places the meteorite find among true meteorites. Thus, the total evidence that comes from field mode of occurrence, hand specimen description, X-ray diffraction of minerals beside chemical analyses of different phases of the find, all point towards a meteorite find belonging to the group of stony-irons or siderolites.

This meteorite find we called El-Kattamiya meteorite or more simply Kattamiya meteorite or Kattamiyite referring to the original place where it was first found.

INTRODUCTION

In the year 1908, a meteorite was observed to fall from the sky in a small village called El-Nakhla or more simply Nakhla, near Abu Hommos town in El-Beheira Governorate, Egypt. This is called Nakhliite or Nakhliites and represents a true meteorite fall. This meteorite fall is regarded as one of 33 meteorites on the whole earth coming from the planet Mars (Google, Meteorites, 2010). More precisely it comes from the asteroid belt between Mars and Jupiter. This Nakhliites is classified as Ca-rich achondrite (Wedepohl, 1971). According to Heide (1964) Nakhliites is composed of diopside and olivine as principal minerals.

El-Shazly (1958) reported a new meteorite find west of Aswan city, Egypt. Its position is about 30 km to the west of Aswan on the road between Aswan and Karkour oasis. De-
tailed analysis of this meteorite find proved it to be composed mainly of kamacite which is an Fe-Ni mineral. The Fe content in this meteorite body is 92% and Ni content is 5.96%. El-Shazly (1958) says that the shape of the stone, presence of pits on its surface beside the outer molten skin, all assure its meteorite origin.

El-Sokkary (1986) discovered the present meteorite find in the desert of El Kattamiya, south east Cairo, Egypt, (Fig.1). According to standard nomenclature, this is called Kattamiyite or Kattamiya meteorite find referring to its original site (El-Sokkary, 1986).

FIELD OF OCCURRENCE

The Kattamiya meteorite is composed of three main big pieces beside a lot of so many smaller and broken fragments, mostly all with dark color. They all together occupy a field area of about 132 square meters and all weighing about 3.5 kg (Figs.2 & 3).

The big pieces are dark or black in color, heavy, containing many pits or voids, with the presence of black glassy lustrous material. This glassy material is sometimes light in weight forming tektite-like small bodies. One of the big pieces weighing 750 gm has a corner like the head of an arrow which may indicate the direction of fall. The same piece has so many concentric circular lines indicating the effect of air currents on semi-molten material during falling. The current lines have their convex side towards the arrow's head, (Fig.4).

Some of the big pieces of the meteorite find contain both stone and iron material. Therefore, this meteorite belongs to a smaller group of meteorites known as stony-irons, siderolites or lithosiderites (Wedepohl, 1971). Since this meteorite material is not observed to fall from the sky in front of any person, rather it is found as it is on the surface of the wadi floor. Thus it does not represent a meteorite fall, but it represents a meteorite find.

It is to be noted that meteorite pieces are found on surface of wadi floor not in pits or
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holes whether shallow or deep. The wadi floor is composed of loose sands and gravels with yellow color and much lime or carbonate material. Meteorite fragments are black in color making contrast with the yellow wadi sediments.

According to Wedepohl (1971), stony-iron meteorites constitute only 2 percent of all meteorites and are relatively rare. Therefore, Kattamiya meteorite is a rare one and deserves much more attention and much more analyses. The same author, Wedepohl (1971), classified the group of stony-irons into four classes: pallasites, siderophyres, lodranites, and mesosiderites. The mesosiderites have their major minerals: orthopyroxene, plagioclase, kamacite, taenite and troilite. On the basis of mineralogical analysis of Kattamiya meteorite find by X-ray diffraction method, it is concluded that it belongs to the class of mesosiderites because it is composed mineralogically of pyroxene, plagioclase, kamacite and troilite.

HAND SPECIMEN DESCRIPTION

As already mentioned, three main big pieces of Kattamiya meteorite were found in the field of occurrence. These weight 750 gm, 1000 gm and 1300 gm respectively. These are accompanied by a lot of fragments of different shapes, sizes, colors and minerals weighting 450 gm. Thus, the total weight of meteorite material is $750 + 1000 + 1300 + 450 = 3500$ gm = 3.5 kg. Among these pieces and fragments, eight different hand specimens could be distinguished in the field. The description of these specimens is as follows:

The Stone-Iron Meteorite

The big piece to be described here is the one that weighs 750 gm and has the dimensions: $14 \times 10 \times 3$ cm. It takes nearly the shape of a rectangle, (Figs. 4 & 5). The upper face is almost stony with a molten bright glass skin. It has a dark or black color. It is full of pits or voids that are empty. The average diameter of these pits is about 5mm based on ten measurements. It contains parts that are lustrous. One of the corners of the rectangle takes the shape of the head of an arrow. Ten concentric rings or flow lines are present on this surface with the convex side facing the arrow’s head, (Fig.4).

The lower surface of the find stone is composed mainly of an iron mineral mostly kamacite, sometimes in the form of moderate globules 6mm wide. The lower surface takes a brownish color on account of the presence of rust of iron in the form of the mineral goethite which is hydrated iron oxides. This rust is thought to be happened after the meteorite reached the earth.

To sum up, the stony surface of the meteorite...
ite is dark in color with lustrous glassy material, full of pits, with a pointed head like arrow’s head and concentric flow marks. Moreover, this surface represents a molten glassy outer skin.

The arrow’s head indicates the direction of fall of the stone, while the flow lines with concentric arcs show that the stone was projected in air with high velocity in a semimolten state.

Thus the criteria from color, pits, lustrous glassy material, flow lines, heavy specific gravity, presence of stone and iron phases, all indicate that we are in front of a meteorite fall. The author called it Kattamiya meteorite or simply Kattamiyite.

The Black Glass Lustrous Material

This material is present as irregular broken fragments with variable sizes ranging from 30-40 mm (Fig. 6A, B & C). The material is black in color, glassy, lustrous, sometimes heavy, with pitted surface and the presence of traces of rust iron. In sunlight, some specimens develop yellowish-green or olive green color. This material is mainly stony meteorite being composed of Fe-Mg silicates i.e. clinopyroxene mineral.

Tektite-Like Material

The material has light specific gravity and pale reddish tints. It may be the result of melting and solidification of the country rock as a result of the tremendous heat (around 2000°C) accompanying the fall impact of the meteorite. It might be contaminated with some of the original meteorite material like iron.

Mason (1964) says that tektites consist of a silica-rich glass (average about 70% SiO₂) resembling obsidian yet distinct from any terrestrial obsidian. Thus the percentage of SiO₂ in the present Kattamiya tektites is important in determining its nature.

The Long Iron Drops

This rusty Fe material takes the form of long drops, (Fig. 7), globules and irregular frag-
ments. One of these drops is 5 cm in length and being stout. The globules are 6 mm in diameter and even lesser. The iron is covered by a thin layer of brownish rust of goethite mineral with chemical formula $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$. This iron is magnetic. Some of the drops, droplets and globules fill the pitted surface of the stony material. On rubbing the rusty iron with sandpaper, fresh iron appears.

**The White Long Drops**

This takes the following shapes: bar-like, long drops, droplets, skeletal and irregular shapes, (Figs. 8, 9, 10, 11 & 12). Some of the bars are 5-6 cm in length. It is clear that all the shapes particularly the long drops and droplets are the result of melting and subsequent solidification. The long droplets strongly look like tin (Sn) bars.

The material is grayish white in color but covered with thin dull oxidized layer which can be removed by rubbing with sandpaper after which a silvery white lustrous surface appears. This material according to Dana (1949) may be the mineral cohenite ($\text{Fe, Ni, Co}_3\text{C}$) which appears in tin-white crystals.

**Colorless Silica Glass**

It is present as one drop or globule of clear colorless transparent silica glass of about 8

---

![Fig. 7: A single drop of metallic iron. The drop is rusted with brownish hydrated iron oxides](image1)

![Fig. 8: One of the long drops or bars of grayish white color](image2)

![Fig. 9: A long white silvery drop accompanying the material of the meteorite find. The drop looks like Sn metal](image3)

![Fig. 10: Another piece of the long white drop taken to scale by a ruler](image4)
mm in diameter (Fig.12I). This is probably formed as the result of fusion of pure sands in contact with the impact site of the falling hot meteorite. The source of sands in this case comes from wadi floor on which the meteorite settled. Nevertheless, it might be part of the original mineral constitution of the meteorite find. This silica drop might belong to high temperature silica minerals like cristobalite (275°C) and tridymite (800°C).

**Coal Piece**

This material is black in color, light in specific gravity, and quite porous. It is in the form of one piece only, being small in size (Fig.12G). This carbonaceous piece can be used in dating the fall of the meteorite event.

**The Rust of Iron Material**

The rusty material is yellowish-brown in color, (Fig.12D). It stains most of the iron material of the meteorite. It is often composed of the mineral goethite with a chemical formula: Fe₂O₃·H₂O. This rust mostly happens on earth after the meteorite fall by atmospheric humidity or by the effect of rain water and oxygen. The rust material can be removed by sandpaper, where fresh metallic iron appears.

**MINERAOLOGY AND CHEMISTRY OF THE DIFFERENT METEORITE PHASES**

Three main phases or parts of Kattamiya meteorite are subjected here to both mineralogical and chemical analysis. These phases are: the stony portion, the iron or siderite portion and the grayish white long drops. The mineralogy of these phases is studied mainly by X-ray diffraction. Wet quantitative chemical analysis is carried out on the same three portions of the meteorite material. Hereafter are the main results of the above mentioned analyses.

**X-Ray Diffraction of the Stony Material**

The stony part of Kattamiya meteorite represented by sample KM-1 which is identified as stone material of the meteorite was X-rayed and gave these main lines: 4.23(20)-4.00(50)-3.33(100).

The four important lines of standard augite with ASTM card No.3-0623 are: 2.99(100)-1.62(100)-1.43(100)-3.31(50). The last line of the standard card, namely 331 (50), is actually the third line that appears in the sample diffraction pattern 3-33 (100).

Note that intensities of lines may be variable with different augites and with different radiations. Note also that the chemical composition of this standard augite is (Ca, Fe, Mg) SiO₃ which might account for most of the chemical composition of the analyzed stony meteorite sample.

Standard hypersthene on the other hand
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with ASTM card No.2-0520 gives the following important lines: 3.20(100)-2.89(80)-1.49(80)-3.36(30).

The chemical composition of this standard mineral: (Mg, Fe)O·SiO₂ cannot account for the chemical analysis of the given stone meteorite.

On the other hand, line 4.00 (50) of the sample may conform with line 4.02(80) of high oligoclase with ASTM card No.9-456 or with line 4.03(80) of bytownite with ASTM card No.9-467. Both minerals are members of the calcic plagioclase series.

Therefore and on the basis of X-ray diffraction alone, the stony part of Kattamiya meteorite is composed mainly of the mineral augite which is a member of the pyroxene group beside a possible member of the calcic plagioclase series.

It is to be noted that line 4.02-4.04 is present nearly in all the plagioclase feldspar members but with varying intensities from 16-80. Wedepohl (1971) during his classification of meteorite minerals assigned plagioclases with 5-10% as oligoclase in chondrites but in achondrites the plagioclase is usually bytownite. Since the stony part of the studied Kattamiya meteorite much resembles Ca-rich achondrites, it is possible that the plagioclase feldspar is bytownite.

**Chemical Analysis of The Stony Material**

The stony phase is represented here by meteorite sample number 7 from Kattamiya site and is identified as black glassy material. Table (1) gives a comparison of quantitative chemical analysis data of the black glassy material with Ca-rich achondrites.

On the other hand, Table (2) shows a comparison of the chemical analyses of samples with numbers 5 and 7 which represent stony material and black glassy material of the meteorite respectively with the corresponding analyses of two varieties of ferroaugite (pyroxene) and calcic plagioclase which is labradorite. The analyses of ferroaugites and labradorite are taken from Deer et al., (1972).

Results of comparisons of Table (2) revealed that the stone part of Kattamiya meteorite is composed of titanoferroaugite plus a calcic plagioclase feldspar mostly in the form of an antiperthite.

Sample No.7 which is black glassy material has high Al₂O₃, CaO, MgO and total Fe₂O₃ contents like Ca-rich achondrites, besides it has similar value to this achondrite with respect to SiO₂. Therefore, sample No.7 is Ca-rich achondrite. This means that the material under analysis is definitely as a meteorite.

**Mineralogy and Chemistry of the Siderite Part**

Sample KM-3 is an Fe globule which represents the siderite portion of Kattamiya meteorite. It is analyzed by X-ray diffraction to determine its mineralogy as follows. This sample gave the following main lines: 3.33(30)-2.02(100)-1.43(?). This conforms with the mineral kamacite which is alpha Fe.Ni.

The siderite part of this meteorite find is analyzed chemically from a quantitative point of view. Sample No.6 is identified here as iron material. It gave the following analyses (Table

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxide</th>
<th>S. No. 7*</th>
<th>Ca-rich Achond.**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>52.82</td>
<td>48.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>11.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>15.71</td>
<td>10.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>9.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>12.78</td>
<td>16.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.60</td>
<td>99.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Meteorite S. No. 7 = Black glassy material, ** Data according to Urey and Craig, (1953)
Table 2: Comparison of chemical analyses (wt. %) of some ferroaugites and labradorite with those of the analyses of the stony part of the Kattamiya meteorite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxide</th>
<th>Ferroaug.</th>
<th>Sub-calcic Ferroaug.</th>
<th>S. No.5*</th>
<th>Labradorite</th>
<th>S. No.7**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>46.61</td>
<td>48.90</td>
<td>53.63</td>
<td>52.96</td>
<td>52.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>tr.</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>16.92</td>
<td>29.72</td>
<td>9.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>20.18</td>
<td>25.35</td>
<td>T 7.99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>T 12.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>17.24</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>12.28</td>
<td>15.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₃O</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O⁺</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O⁻</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.73</td>
<td>99.92</td>
<td>97.46</td>
<td>100.22</td>
<td>99.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Sample No.5 is stony material, ** Sample No.7 is black glassy material

Table 3: Chemical analyses (wt. %) of the siderite portion of Kattamiya meteorite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxide</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>39.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.R.*</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V.C. **</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>n.d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*I.R.: Insoluble residue, **V.C.: Volatile component which is either S or C or both, n.d: Not detected.
Chemistry of the Long Silver - White Droplets

This meteorite material is chemically analyzed and gives the following data shown in Table (4).

The analyses of this sample raise more questions than answers. But they represent the only available chemical data for this material.

Thus chemical analysis of the long silver white droplets shows that they are composed of major Fe beside minor amounts of both Pb (0.4%) and Ni (0.2%), Co is not detected. The major Fe may form troilite mineral phase plus cohenite mineral phase. The latter mineral cohenite is (Fe, Ni, Co)$_3$C. X-ray diffraction analysis shows the presence of troilite which is FeS and cohenite which is (Fe, Ni, Co)$_3$C. Thus the white gray long droplets are composed mineralogically of both troilite and cohenite.

RADIOACTIVITY

Three main phases of Kattamiya meteorite, namely: siderite phase, stony or silicate phase and troilite (Fe sulfide) phase are subjected to measure their radioactivity by means of a scintillometer. The three phases under study gave radioactivity near the background reading. This means that the content of U, Ra and Th in each of the three phases is below the detection limit of the scintillometer.

Heide (1964) in his book on meteorites and characterized by dark or black color, shiny glassy material, the surface is pitted with the presence of concentric flow lines. The surface is covered by a molten glassy outer skin. The lower surface of the stone is composed of metallic iron frequently in the form of globules. Many dark colored glass pieces are found in the location, beside an iron drop of 5 cm length. So many long grayish-white drops are found in the site. Beside a clear quartz bead and a small piece of porous carbon.

during a discussion on the chemical constitution of meteorites, referred to the presence of U and Th in these heavenly bodies. He says that even such rare elements as uranium and thorium have been determined by neutron activation analysis. In the following Table (5), their abundances in meteoritic irons are compared with those in stone meteorites and terrestrial igneous rocks. All abundances are expressed in units of grams per ton i.e. parts per million.

It is seen from this table that both elements are several orders of magnitude more abundant in stone meteorites than in irons, but that their level in igneous rocks is more than two orders of magnitude higher still than in stone meteorites.

DISCUSSION

Kattamiyite or Kattamiya meteorite is a meteorite find located in Kattamiya Desert, south east Cairo, Egypt. This material is char-

Table 4: Available chemical analysis of the white long droplets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxide</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>n.d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d: not detected

Table 5: The elements U and Th contents in some meteorites, After Heide, 1964

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>U (g/t)</th>
<th>Th (g/t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Irons</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$ - $10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$ - $10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stones</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic igneous rocks</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidic igneous rocks</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These criteria including the dark color, pitted surface, glassy skin, heavy weight, concentric flow rings, iron globules, beside lustrous dark material, iron drops and grayish white long drops (troilite and cohenite) all indicate that the material under study represents a true meteorite find. This is further confirmed from mineralogical and chemical analyses.

X-ray diffraction analysis of the minerals of Kattamiya material showed that the following minerals are present: kamacite, troilite, cohenite, titanooaugite beside a calcic plagioclase feldspar. This mineral association refers the meteorite find to mesosiderites which is a fourth class of the stony-irons group. Chemical analysis of the meteorite material revealed that the stony part of the meteorite much resembles Ca-rich achondrites.

No body has observed this meteorite material while falling from the sky. Therefore, it is recorded as a meteorite find. Nevertheless, it is recognized now as a meteorite.

Thus the meteorite find is identified here as stony-iron meteorite that belongs to the class of mesosiderites. Moreover, the stony half of the meteorite belongs to the Ca-rich achondrites.

It is known that iron metal melts at 1535°C and boils at 3000°C. Therefore, the formation of a long drop of iron with 5 cm length means that the temperature of the meteorite material has reached at least to more than 1500°C during fall.

It is to be noted that more mineral and chemical analyses are needed beside studies of the Fe phase under the ore microscope and etching the iron surface. This might give more details that will explain the final origin of the meteorite.

Accurate chemical analyses are needed. The analyses should cover the different meteorite phases including: stony material, iron material, dark glass material, long iron drops and the long grayish white drops beside the transparent quartz bead and the coal material. Age dating of the last material will give the correct date of the meteorite fall event.

**CONCLUSIONS**

A meteorite find is located at Kattamiya Desert, 25 km south east of Cairo, Egypt. This has the following properties.

1- It is dark in color with pitted surface, glassy skin, heavy weight and many lustrous fragments. It contains iron metal mostly in the form of moderate globules.

2- Mega textures and structures on the surface of the falling stone show the presence of flow lines in the form of concentric rings with a pointed head. This means that the stone was falling from the sky in a semimolten manner with a great velocity. This assures also that the stone comes from the sky.

3- This material is identified as stony - iron meteorite.

4- It belongs to the class of mesosiderites of the siderolites group.

5- It is characterized by the mineral association: pyroxene (augite) - calcic plagioclase - kamacite - troilite - cohenite.

6- The stony portion of the meteorite find is related to Ca-rich achondrites.

7- This meteorite find is called Kattamiya meteorite or Kattamiyite.

8- The meteorite has attained a temperature of more than 1500°C.

9- It needs more mineralogical and chemical analyses and more detailed studies.

10- The carbon piece found in association with the meteorite material can be used in dating the meteorite fall impact.

Finally, all the above mentioned evidences assure that we are in front of a meteorite find. The field of occurrence should be surveyed several times for finding more meteorite material and meteoritic fragments.
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ج. من تحاليل جيود الأشعة السينية والتحليل الكيميائي لأنواع المادة النيزكية إلى أننا أمام نيزك حقيقي متواجد سبق سقوطه من السماء إلى الأرض دون أن يراه أحد أثناء السقوط، ويمكن استغلال قطعة الفحم الصغيرة التي وجدت مصاحبة للنيزك في تحديد تاريخ سقوطه على الأرض.

أما لكوارتز الزجاجي الشفاف الوحيدة التي يكون قطرها في حدود 8 مم، فإن الدراسة المعدنية لها تحت الميكروسكوب المستقطب وبدون الأشعة السينية قد تفيد في تحديد أنواع معدن الكوارتز الموجودة بها. حيث أنه من المتوقع أن تكون هذه المعادن من الأنواع عالية الحرارة مثل كريستوباليت وهو يكون عند درجة حرارة 2750 °C أو معدن تربيديت الذي يكون عند درجة حرارة 800 °C. وهنا تساعد هذه الكريريات الزجاجية الصغيرة في معرفة التاريخ الحراري الذي مر به النيزك كما أنها تلقى أضواء على أصل المواد المكونة له.

هذا وقد قام المؤلف بتسمية النيزك الحالي باسم نيزك القطاعية أو نيزك القطاعية أو نيزك القطاعية، والإسم يشير إلى الموقع الأصلي الأول حيث وجدت هذه المادة النيزكية.