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ABSTRACT

The study area is located at north Wadi Abu Rusheid and is composed of ophiolitic mélange (the oldest), cataclastic rocks, biotite granites and muscovite leucogranites (the youngest). The muscovite leucogranites are found as dyke like body intruded between ophiolitic mélange and cataclastic rocks. Petrographically, the muscovite leucogranites are composed mainly of quartz, plagioclase of albite composition, potash feldspar and muscovite. Zircon, thorite, uranophane and opaque as accessories.

Geochemically, the muscovite leucogranites are peraluminous in nature, crystallized from relatively sodic rich magma and related to calc-alkaline series. The muscovite leucogranites are belong to A-type, emplaced during within plate tectonic setting and intruded in a continental crust with thickness < 20 km.

The muscovite leucogranites have high contents of Zr, Y, Pb and Nb and moderate contents of Zn, Ba, Cu, Sr and Rb. The mineralogical study of the muscovite leucogranites is characterized by presence of radioactive minerals (uranophane and thorite) and zircon. From radioactivity point of view the muscovite leucogranites up to 250 ppm eU and 1146 ppm eTh and related to magmatic origin. The muscovite leucogranites lose some of their uranium contents which migrated towards the cataclastic rocks along bedding planes and re-deposited on their fracture planes.

INTRODUCTION

Egyptian granitoid rocks classified into the: 1) syn- to late orogenic older granitoid assemblages (880-610 Ma) emplaced during the mature intra-oceanic island-arc stage (Ragab et al., 1989); and 2) post-orogenic to anorogenic younger granitoid assemblages (600-475 Ma). The older granitoids are considered to be a product of partial melting of a mantle wedge with little or no crustal contamination (Hussein et al., 1982). The younger granitoids, commonly forming small plutons (1-10 km2), are confined to regional structural weaknesses of northwest-southeast and north-south directed rifting in northernmost Afro-Arabia (Stern, 1985). They are lithophile light element (LILE) enriched calc-alkaline to mildly alkaline rocks with I-type affinity, but some of them have recently been classified as A-type granitoids (Abdel-Rahman and Martin, 1990; Hassanen et al., 1995; Hassanen and Harraz, 1996; El-Sayed, 1998). However, both the I- and A-type Egyptian younger granitoids are epizonal plutons emplaced at shallow crustal levels (Abdel-Rahman and Martin, 1987; Hassanen, 1997).

Leucogranites are typical products of collisional orogens, which found in orogenic terranes of different age. Characteristics of these collisional leucogranites show that they were derived from predominantly pelitic sources at the veining stages of deformation and meta-
morphism in upper plates of thickened crusts. Once generated, the leucogranite magmas ascended as dykes and were emplaced within shallower parts of their source sequences. In these orogenic belts, there was a strong connection between deformation, metamorphism and granite generation (Nabelek and Mian, 2004).

In Egypt, peraluminous leucogranites represent phases of late orogenic to an orogenic granite complex. They brought about Mo, Sn, W, U, and Nb-Ta mineralization in the form of stock works or in the quartz veins within the granitic rocks (Hassan et al., 1984, Takla and Nowier et al., 1980). Mahmoud (2009) studied the six exposures of muscovite granites in Wadi El Gemal and their surroundings and found that, the muscovite granites in the study area are strongly peraluminous, crystallized from calc-alkaline magma, I-type granites and within plate environment. Abu El Atta et al. (2013) studied the peraluminous leucogranites at Madinat Nugrus, south Wadi Abu Rusheid area and stated that this granite is monzogranite in composition peraluminous, I-type in characters and originated from highly differentiated magma generated from upper mantle with some contaminations with the crust.

In this paper, the author throws some lights on the geology, mineralogy and geochemistry of uraniferous muscovite leucogranites.

GEOLOGIC SETTING

The study area is located at north Wadi Abu Rusheid between lat. 24° 38’ 20’’ and 24° 38’ 40’’ N and long. 34° 45’ 41’’ and 34° 46’ 00’’ E (Fig. 1). Wadi Abu Rusheid area lying about 60 km southwest Marsa Alam City (Fig. 1) Red Sea region. The basement rocks at this part can be arranged with the oldest as follows: ophiolitic mélangé, cataclastic rocks, biotite granites and muscovite leucogranites. The geology of Abu Rusheid area has attracted many workers (e.g. Hassan , 1973; Sabet et al., 1976; El Maghraby 1994; Saleh,1997 and Ibrahim et al., 2004).

Ophiolitic Mélange

Ophiolitic mélange occurs in the west side of the study area. It composed mainly of mafic-ultramafic fragments with varying sized masses of serpentinites, metapyroxenites, metagabbros and talc carbonates intermixed in zone of highly pervasively deformed ma-

![Geologic map of the study area](Fig.1: Geologic map of the study area (Modified after saleh,1997 and Ibrahim et al., 2004))
trix of metasedimentary origin (Saleh, 1997). These rocks are thrusting over cataclastic rocks along NW-SE thrust fault (Fig. 1). The mafic-ultramafic fragments occur as sub-rounded to elongated bodies and characterized by creamy, grey to dark grey in colors (Fig. 2). The matrix of metasediments is fine-grained of greenish grey and whitish grey in color, highly folded and foliated. They are represented by muscovite biotite schist and quartz-feldspathic biotite schist.

**Cataclastic (Mylonite) Rocks**

The cataclastic rocks occur thrusting under the ophiolitic mélangé and intruded from east by biotite granites. These rocks are low to medium relief, reddish- to light grey in colors, medium-grained and exhibit gneissosity (Fig. 3) and augen structures. The rocks are highly altered and featured by the frequent presence of pegmatite lenses extending parallel to the foliation planes. The cataclastic rocks affected by many alteration processes as a result of hydrothermal solutions. Due to these alteration processes, the cataclastic rocks show variation of colors from red to yellow colours along faults and joints. The cataclastic rock are mineralized by polymineralization (U, Th, Pb, Nb, Ta, and Zr) (Ibrahim et al., 2004) and classified into protomylonite, mylonite, ultramylonite and silicified ultramylonite with graditional contacts.

**Biotite Granites**

Biotite granites occupy the eastern part of the study area and extend outside the map in the east and north directions. These rocks are intruding through the cataclastic rocks with medium to high relief (Fig. 4), medium to coarse-grained, porphyritic and grey in color.

**Muscovite Leucogranites**

The muscovite leucogranites occur close to the thrust fault between the ophiolitic mélangé and cataclastic rocks. The muscovite leucogranites are occur as dyke-like body of low topography (Fig. 5) with (2-7 m in width and about 250 m in length). The muscovite leucogranites are medium-grained, milky white in color, highly sheared, fractured, posses sharp contact and truncate paralling
the foliation of metasediments with absence of any xenolithes.

The muscovite leucogranites are mainly composed of quartz, plagioclase of albitic composition, potash feldspars and muscovite (Fig.6). Zircon, thorite uranophane and opaques are accessory minerals. Sericite and kaolinite are secondary minerals.

Quartz occurs as subhedral to anhedral crystals of medium-grained as well as fine crystals, occupying the interstices between the other constituents. The crystals exhibiting wavy to undulose extinction with cracking (Fig. 7). Plagioclase occurs as sodic plagioclase (An. \(4-14\)) as subhedral prismatic crystals of medium to fine-grained. The crystals are twinned according to albite and Carlsbad twinning. Albite twinning is more abundant in the muscovite leucogranites than other plagioclase feldspars, and this may be taken as a reflection for low temperature deformation associated with the emplacement of the studied muscovite leucogranites (Shelley, 1993). The crystals of plagioclase are bent, kinked and gliding (Fig. 8). The presence of bent, kinked and gliding of plagioclase lamellae as well as high cracking and strongly undulatory quartz, all these features point to subsolidus deformation (Paterson et al., 1989). Such deformation should be the result of extensive regional thrusting (Greil-
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ing et al., 1987), to which the area had been subjected. K-feldspars occur as orthoclase and orthoclase perthite and exhibiting subhedral crystals. K-feldspars contain inclusions of biotite and quartz. The crystals are slightly altered to kaolinite. The presence of two feldspars suggests that the muscovite leucogranites are mostly subsolvus and crystallized under high water pressure (Greenberge, 1981 and Deer et al., 1992). Muscovite occurs as irregular flakes and corroded by other components (Fig.6). Zircon occurs as prismatic crystals associated between the other components of the rock (Fig. 6). Opaques are rarely contents with skeletal shapes. Thorite occurs as anhedral to subhedral forms filling the interstitial between the crystals of the rock (Fig 10).

GEOCHEMISTRY

The geochemical study was carried out through the analyses of ten samples from the studied muscovite leucogranites. The major and trace elements were analyzed in Nuclear Materials Authority. The results are listed in Table (1).

Geochemical Features of Muscovite Leucogranites

From the results of chemical analysis of muscovite leucogranites (Table 1), the muscovite leucogranites have relatively high SiO$_2$% content ranging from 71.2 % to 75.1 % with averaging about 73.5%, moderate alkali content (K$_2$O% + Na$_2$O%) ranging from 6.79% to 8% with averaging about 7.5%. The Na$_2$O% contents are higher than K$_2$O% (Table 1) in the muscovite leucogranite, this indicates that the muscovite leucogranites have crystallized from relatively sodic rich magma.

The muscovite leucogranites posses moderately Al$_2$O$_3$ content ranging from 13.4% to 14.84% with averaging about 13.9%. The muscovite leucogranites have low contents of TiO$_2$, MgO, CaO, FeO and P$_2$O$_5$. The Agpaitic ratio [molar (Na$_2$O + K$_2$O)/Al$_2$O$_3$] is <1.0 (Table 1) means that the muscovite leucogranites are Miaskitic in nature (Goldschmidt, 1954 and Bailey & Macdonald, 1969).

The muscovite leucogranites in the study area have a high contents of HFSE such as Zr, Y, Pb, Ga & Nb and moderate contents of Cu, Zn, Ba, Sr and Rb. According to El Gaby and Habib (1982), Ca/Y ratio decreases continuously and reach its minimum value in the most differentiated granites. The study muscovite leucogranites show low Ca/Y ratios (average about = 45).

Geochemical Classifications

Based on the normative Ab-Or-An ternary diagram was used by Barker (1979) as shown in (Fig. 11). On this diagram, the mus-
Table 1: The major oxides in wt%, trace elements in ppm of muscovite leucogranites, Wadi Abu Rusheid area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sp.No.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>74.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>14.84</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>13.74</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>13.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.O.I</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.72</td>
<td>98.39</td>
<td>98.23</td>
<td>98.09</td>
<td>99.63</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>99.63</td>
<td>98.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALK</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>7.86</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>6.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/CNK</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/NK</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trace elements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.11: Normative Ab-Or-An ternary diagram (Barker, 1979) muscovite leucogranites

The A/NK versus A/CNK binary diagram was constructed by Maniar and Piccoli, (1989) to distinguish the different peraluminous, metaluminous and peralkaline magma types. The muscovite leucogranite samples fall in the peraluminous field (Fig.12).

The K₂O-SiO₂ relationship (Le Maitre, 1989 and Rickwood, 1989), (Fig. 13) indicates that the studied muscovite leucogranite samples plot in the calc-alkaline rock series field (medium-K). Sylvester, (1989) used major oxides for discrimination between alkaline, calc-alkaline, and alkaline and highly fractionated calc-alkaline magmas (Fig. 14). The ma-
The majority of studied samples lie in calc-alkaline field except two samples lie in alkaline and highly fractionated calc-alkaline field.

Na₂O vs. K₂O binary diagram (Fig. 15) reveals three types of granite in three fields; I-type and S-type after White and Chappell, (1984) and A-type after Liew et al., (1989). Most of the examined samples of muscovite leucogranites plot in the A-type field at the border of the I-type field. Variation diagram of SiO₂ versus Zr (Newberry et al., 1990) show that the most studied muscovite leucogranite samples lie in A-type granite (Fig. 16).

The tectonic setting of the studied muscovite leucogranites are elucidated using the
Nb against Y discrimination diagram (Fig.17) was used by Pearce et al. (1984) to distinguish four tectonic fields of granite: Within plate granite (WPG), Syn-collision granite (Syn-COLG), Volcanic arc granite (VAG) and Oceanic ridge granite (ORG). The muscovite leucogranites belong to within plate tectonic setting field.

Rb and Sr are distributed in the granitoids rocks based on the abundance of K-feldspar (for Rb) and Ca-plagioclase (for Sr). Both K-feldspars and Ca-plagioclase are directly related to crustal fractionation and hence to its thickening where the thickness of crust increases with the increase Rb and Sr contents. Rb against Sr binary diagram, which established by Condie (1973) is used to determine the crustal thickness during the intrusion of any magmatic rocks. Based on this diagram, the muscovite leucogranites are intruded in a continental crust with thickness average about < 20 km. (Fig.18).

The Ba/Rb ratio decreases with magmatic differentiation due to crystallization of feldspars. Ba/Rb ratio for granites suggested by Mason, (1966) is 4.1. The Ba-Rb variation diagram (Fig.19) shows that the muscovite leucogranite samples lie between 4.4 to 4.4x10^{-1}. This ratio equal the average crustal value, indicating the addition of crustal magma in the formation of muscovite leucogranites.

MINERALOGY OF MUSCOVITE LEUCOGRANITES

Three samples (10 kg. For each samples) were crushed and the size fraction of 0.063-0.5 mm was used. This size fraction was sub-
Projected to systematic mineral separation techniques using heavy liquids (Bromoform, 2.8 sp.gr.), magnetic fractionation using (Frantz Isodynamic Magnetic Separator) and microscopically handpicking mineral grains. Mineral identifications were carried out by Environmental Scanning Electron Microscope (ESEM) techniques. The obtained minerals include:

**Uranophane** *(CaO.2UO$_3$.2SiO$_2$.6H$_2$O)*

The uranophane grains under the microscope are present as massive radiated. The crystals exhibit poorly developed faces and do not afford good morphological measurements. The grains are very soft with canary to pale yellow colors (Fig21 &22).

**Thorite** *(ThSiO$_4$)*

In the investigated area, thorite occurs as short prismatic crystals exhibiting deep brownish color (Figs. 23&24). Because thorite is highly radioactive it is usually metamict and contains REEs in few percent with Ce, uranium and yttrium.

**Zircon** *(ZrSiO$_4$)*

Most of zircon is represented by prismatic grains with bipyramidal or broken crystals with subrounded edges. The grains are colourless to honey in colors (Figs.25 &26). Metamictization in minerals is generally considered to
be the effect of radiation damage produced by radioactive decay of thorium and uranium, (Mitchell, 1973). The crystal habit of zircon in the investigated rocks of study area is short prismatic crystals.

The cataclastic rocks had been studied by many workers (Ibrahim, et al., 2004; Rashed, 2005 and Darwish, 2014) and characterized by containing poly-mineralizations. Comparison between mineralizations in study muscovite leucogranites and cataclastic rocks are listed in Table (2):

---

**Table 2 : Comparison between mineralizations in muscovite leucogranites and cataclastic rocks.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscovite leucogranites</th>
<th>Cataclastic rocks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Uranium minerals</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranophane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranophane, caselite and autinite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thorium minerals</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorite</td>
<td>Thorite and uranothorite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Associated minerals</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zircon</td>
<td>Zircon, xenotime and cassiterite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Niobium- Tantalite minerals</strong></td>
<td>Columbite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sulphide minerals</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>Pyrite, chalcopyrite, galena and covellite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
---

---

SPECTROMETRIC PROSPECTING

The instrument used in the ground γ-ray spectrometric survey measurements is RS-230. Ground γ-ray spectrometric survey can detect dose rate (D.R.) in unit (nanosieverts per hour (nSv h$^{-1}$)), potassium (K%), equivalent uranium content (eU ppm), and equivalent thorium content (eTh ppm). Uranium mobilization (eUm) in the studied rock types can be calculated as follows: the uranium mobilization is calculated difference between the measured eU and the expected original uranium, which is calculated by dividing the measured eTh by the average eTh/eU ratio in the crustal acidic rocks (original uranium = eTh / 3.5 according to Clark et al., 1966) to give the leaching values of uranium (eUm = eU − eTh / 3.5). Positive values indicate ura-
Uranium addition by mobilization, whereas negative values indicated migration of uranium by leaching. D.R., K%, eU, eTh, and other variants of cataclastic rock and muscovite leucogranite are illustrated in Table (3).

Normally, Th is three times as abundant as U in natural rocks (Rogers and Adams, 1969). When this ratio is disturbed, it illustrates a depletion or enrichment of uranium. U and Th contents of granitic rocks generally increase during differentiation although in some cases they decrease (Ragland et al., 1967). Th/U ratio can either increase or decrease as it is controlled by the redox conditions, volatile contents, or alteration by endogens or supergene solutions (Falkum and Rose-Hansen, 1978). Relation between uranium and thorium is helpful to test if there is enrichment or depletion of uranium and/or thorium.

In the cataclastic rocks, the eU contents range from 20-110 ppm with average about 60 ppm. The eTh contents range between 55-418 ppm with average about 150 ppm. The eU/eTh ratio ranges between 0.2-1 with average about 0.45, more than the magmatic eU/eTh ratio (0.33), indicating the enrichment of uranium in the cataclastic rocks. The uranium mobilization {eUm = (eU-eTh/3.5)} of cataclastic rocks ranges from -20 to 40 with an average about -28. These negative values of eUm indicating the migration out of uranium from the cataclastic rocks (Table 3).

In muscovite leucogranites, the eU contents range from 12-250 ppm with average about 80 ppm. The eTh contents range between 60-1146 ppm with average about 380 ppm. The eU/eTh ratio ranges between 0.15 -0.29 with average about 0.21 less the magmatic eU/eTh ratio (0.33), indicating that migration out of uranium from the muscovite leucogranites. The uranium mobilization {eUm = (eU-eTh/3.5)} of muscovite leucogranite range from 0 to -84 with an average about -28. These negative values of eUm indicating the migration out of uranium from the muscovite leucogranites (Table 3).

The average eU content in both cataclastic rocks and muscovite leucogranite are more than twice their Clark value (4 ppm). This indicates that these rocks are uraniferous rocks.

The variation diagrams of the cataclastic rocks, (Figs.27-29) showing there are weakly positive relation between eU vs eTh. This relation indicating, post-magmatic redistribution of uranium, leading to the enrichment of uranium.

![Fig. 27: Binary variation diagram between eU vs. eTh, cataclastic rocks.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock types</th>
<th>D.R. (nSv h−1)</th>
<th>K%</th>
<th>eU ppm</th>
<th>eTh ppm</th>
<th>eU/eTh</th>
<th>eUm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muscovite leucogranites</td>
<td>320 - 5000</td>
<td>1.7 - 3.7</td>
<td>12 - 250</td>
<td>60 - 1146</td>
<td>0.15 - 0.29</td>
<td>0 - (- 84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>- 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataclastic rocks</td>
<td>360 - 1400</td>
<td>3.1 - 7</td>
<td>20 - 110</td>
<td>55 - 418</td>
<td>0.2 - 1</td>
<td>(-20) - (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
uranium. The relations between eUm vs. eU in one hand and eTh in the other hand show positive relation with eU and negative relation with eTh. These relations also indicating the enrichment of uranium later by post-magmatic processes.

The variation diagrams of the studied muscovite leucogranites (Figs. 30-32) show that, there is a very strong positive relation between eU vs eTh, indicating magmatic origin. The relation between eUm vs. eU and eTh showing strong negative relations. These negative relations reflect the migration out and losing some of original uranium from the muscovite leucogranites.
From above variation diagrams in all cataclastic rocks and muscovite leucogranites, it is clear that the muscovite leucogranites lose some of their uranium contents. This losing part of uranium contents from muscovite leucogranites is migrated out and re-deposited in the cataclastic rocks. So that, the muscovite leucogranites play a role in the occurrences of uranium mineralizations in the cataclastic rocks in Abu Rusheid area.

From above studies, we can concluded that, the origin of the high radioactivity of muscovite leucogranites is related magmatic in origin, for these reasons:

1- High contents of uranium (from 12 to 250 ppm) and thorium (from 60 to 1146 ppm) in muscovite leucogranites with very strong positive relation between them. It known that the thorium not mobilized and stay in the hosted rocks.

2- The muscovite leucogranites are fresh with absence of any alteration processes (absence of hydrothermal solutions).

3. The muscovite leucogranites are poorly in accessory minerals.

4. The absence of post-magmatic activities (dykes or veins).

CONCLUSIONS

1-The study area at north Wadi Abu Rusheid consists mainly of ophiolitic mélangé cataclastic rocks, biotite granites and muscovite leucogranites.

2- The muscovite leucogranites were intruded through the contact between the ophiolitic mélangé and the cataclastic rocks paralling to the foliations of metasediments of ophiolitic mélangé along the thrust fault. This emplacement leading to the muscovite leucogranites suffered degree of deformation and cataclasis. Petrographically, these rocks are composed mainly of quartz, plagioclase of albite composition, potash feldspar and muscovite. Thorite, zircon, uranophane and opaques are accessory minerals.

3-Th presence of bent, kinked and gliding of plagioclase lamellae as well as high cracking and strongly undulatory quartz, all these features point to subsolidus deformation (Paterson et al., 1989). Such deformation should be the result of extensive regional thrusting (Greiling et al., 1987) to which the area had been subjected.

4- The studied muscovite leucogranites have high SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, alkali contents, Zr, Y, Pb, Ga and Nb and posses low TiO$_2$, MgO, CaO, FeO and P$_2$O$_5$ contents. The muscovite leucogranites are originated from magma characterized by peraluminous in nature, sodic rich magma, calc-alkaline and belong to A-type field. The muscovite leucogranites belong to within plate tectonic setting and intruded in a continental crust with thickness average < 20 km.

5- The muscovite leucogranites have high contents of radioactivity in the studied area (eU up to 250 ppm and eTh up to 1146 ppm) due to the presence of uranophane and thorite. The average eU/eTh ratios in muscovite leucogranites equal to 0.21, while in cataclastic rock equal to 0.45. This indicates that the muscovite leucogranites suffered migration out of uranium towards the cataclastic rock.
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